

---

# American Psychological Association Dsm 5

---

American Psychological  
Association Dsm 5

Downloaded from  
[everybodystreet.com](http://everybodystreet.com) by  
Dominguez & Noemi

---

## UNDERSTANDING THE DIAGNOSTIC AND STATISTICAL MANUAL OF MENTAL DISORDERS: THE ROLE OF THE AMERICAN PSYCHOLOGICAL ASSOCIATION AND THE DSM-5

---

The landscape of mental health diagnosis is both complex and ever-evolving, shaped by decades of research, clinical practice, and ongoing debate. At the heart of this landscape lies a single, influential text: the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, now in its fifth edition, commonly referred to as the DSM-5. While the manual itself is published by the **American Psychiatric Association**, its impact resonates deeply throughout the entire field of behavioral health, including the work of the **American Psychological Association**. This article explores the intricate relationship between these two major organizations, the purpose and structure of the DSM-5, and the profound implications this manual holds for psychologists, researchers, and the millions of individuals seeking mental health care.

## The Historical

## Context: Why a Diagnostic Manual Became Essential

Before the mid-20th century, mental health diagnosis in the United States was inconsistent and lacked a standardized framework. Clinicians often used vague terminology, making it difficult to compare research findings or communicate effectively about patient conditions. The need for a common language became increasingly apparent, especially after World War II, when the sheer number of veterans suffering from psychological trauma overwhelmed the healthcare system. In 1952, the American Psychiatric Association published the first edition of the DSM, a modest pamphlet that listed around 60 disorders. Subsequent editions expanded significantly, reflecting a growing understanding of psychopathology and the influence of emerging research.

The **American Psychological Association**, representing over 130,000 psychologists, has historically engaged deeply with each revision of the DSM. Psychologists are among the primary users of the manual, relying on its criteria to diagnose patients, guide

treatment plans, and determine eligibility for therapy. While the American Psychiatric Association holds the editorial reins, the American Psychological Association has consistently contributed research, provided critical feedback, and voiced concerns about diagnostic reliability and cultural sensitivity. This collaborative yet sometimes contentious dynamic has shaped the evolution of the manual into the comprehensive, data-driven document we know today as the DSM-5.

## What Exactly Is the DSM-5?

The **Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, Fifth Edition** (DSM-5) is the definitive classification system for mental disorders used by clinicians, researchers, and insurance companies in the United States and many other parts of the world. Published in 2013 after more than a decade of development, the DSM-5 represents a significant departure from its predecessor, the DSM-IV-TR. It is designed to provide clear, standardized descriptions of diagnostic categories, enabling clinicians to make reliable assessments based on observable symptoms, duration, and functional impairment.

It is important to clarify a common point of confusion: the **American Psychological Association** does not publish the DSM-5. That responsibility falls to the **American Psychiatric Association**. However, the American Psychological Association is deeply invested in the manual's content and application. Many psychologists serve on DSM task forces and workgroups,

contributing their expertise in assessment, psychometrics, and clinical practice. The American Psychological Association also publishes its own resources, such as the *Publication Manual of the American Psychological Association* (the APA Style guide), which governs how mental health professionals write and cite research, including references to the DSM-5.

## Key Structural Changes in the DSM-5

One of the most notable features of the DSM-5 is its reorganization of disorders into a more logical, developmental framework. The manual abandons the previous multiaxial system, which separated clinical disorders, personality disorders, and medical conditions into distinct axes. Instead, the DSM-5 integrates all diagnoses into a single, streamlined list, which simplifies documentation but also places greater emphasis on dimensional assessment. Here are some of the key structural changes:

- **Elimination of the Multiaxial System:** Clinicians no longer assign a separate Axis II diagnosis for personality disorders or intellectual disabilities. All conditions are now listed together, with separate notations for psychosocial and contextual factors.
- **Section III: Emerging Measures and Models:** The DSM-5 includes a section for assessment measures, cultural formulation,

and an alternative model for personality disorders. This section encourages clinicians to consider severity, cross-cutting symptoms, and cultural context.

- **Developmental and Lifespan Approach:** Disorders are grouped by their typical age of onset, starting with neurodevelopmental disorders (often diagnosed in childhood) and moving toward neurocognitive disorders associated with aging.
- **Integration of Dimensional Specifiers:** Many disorders now include specifiers for severity (mild, moderate, severe) and specific features, allowing for a more nuanced understanding of a patient's presentation.

## Notable Diagnostic Revisions in the DSM-5

The American Psychological Association and its members were actively involved in evaluating the evidence behind several controversial revisions. Understanding these changes is essential for any mental health professional. Some of the most significant updates include:

### **AUTISM SPECTRUM DISORDER**

The DSM-5 consolidated four previously separate diagnoses—autistic disorder, Asperger's disorder, childhood disintegrative disorder, and pervasive developmental disorder not otherwise

specified—into a single umbrella diagnosis: **Autism Spectrum Disorder**. This change was based on research showing that these conditions share a common core of social communication deficits and restricted, repetitive behaviors. While controversial among some advocates, the American Psychological Association generally supported the shift toward a dimensional spectrum model, which emphasizes individualized assessment of severity.

### **POSTTRAUMATIC STRESS DISORDER (PTSD)**

The criteria for PTSD were significantly refined. The DSM-5 expanded the definition to include four symptom clusters instead of three: intrusion, avoidance, negative alterations in cognition and mood, and marked alterations in arousal and reactivity. A separate diagnostic category for **PTSD in children ages six and younger** was also introduced, reflecting a developmental perspective that the American Psychological Association strongly advocated for. Additionally, the manual moved PTSD out of the anxiety disorders section and into a new chapter on trauma- and stressor-related disorders.

### **SUBSTANCE USE DISORDERS**

The DSM-5 replaced the old distinction between substance abuse and substance dependence with a single diagnosis of **Substance Use Disorder**, measured on a continuum from mild to severe. This change eliminated the confusing concept of "dependence without abuse" and aligned more closely with how psychologists and other clinicians conceptualize addiction as a spectrum. The manual also added craving as a

diagnostic criterion and removed the criterion related to legal problems, which had been criticized for introducing racial and socioeconomic bias.

## MOOD DISORDERS

The bereavement exclusion was removed from the diagnosis of major depressive disorder. Previously, clinicians were instructed not to diagnose major depression in individuals who had recently lost a loved one, unless symptoms persisted beyond two months. The DSM-5 eliminated this exclusion, acknowledging that grief and major depression can coexist and that bereavement can trigger a depressive episode. This change was supported by the American Psychological Association, which argued that it would reduce underdiagnosis and ensure that grieving individuals receive appropriate care.

# Controversies and Criticisms: A Balanced Perspective

Despite its widespread use, the DSM-5 has faced substantial criticism from within and outside the mental health community. The **American Psychological Association** has been a vocal participant in these debates, raising concerns about diagnostic inflation, the influence of pharmaceutical companies, and the potential for overdiagnosis. Several key controversies deserve attention:

- **Diagnostic Inflation and Pathologizing Normal**

**Behavior:** Critics argue that some new diagnoses, such as

**Disruptive Mood Dysregulation Disorder**, risk medicalizing temper tantrums and normal childhood irritability. The American Psychological Association has urged caution, emphasizing that diagnostic criteria must be applied judiciously and that developmental context is paramount.

- **Lowering of Diagnostic Thresholds:** The DSM-5 lowered the symptom count required for certain diagnoses, including adult ADHD. While proponents argue this captures previously overlooked cases, critics worry it will lead to overdiagnosis and unnecessary medication.
- **Reliability Concerns:** Field trials for the DSM-5 revealed only moderate reliability for some common disorders, such as major depressive disorder and generalized anxiety disorder. The American Psychological Association has called for continued research to improve diagnostic consistency.
- **Cultural Bias:** The manual has been criticized for reflecting a predominantly Western, Eurocentric perspective on mental health. The DSM-5 includes a cultural formulation interview in Section III, but the American Psychological Association and many cross-cultural psychologists argue that more work is needed to ensure that diagnoses are valid across diverse populations.

# The Role of the American Psychological Association in Diagnosis and Assessment

While the **American Psychiatric Association** maintains editorial authority over the DSM-5, the **American Psychological Association** plays a complementary and equally vital role. Psychologists are extensively trained in psychological assessment, which goes far beyond simply applying diagnostic criteria. A comprehensive psychological evaluation typically includes clinical interviews, behavioral observations, and standardized testing instruments. The DSM-5 criteria serve as a starting point, but psychologists use their clinical judgment to interpret these criteria in light of each individual's unique history, personality, and cultural background.

The American Psychological Association also publishes influential practice guidelines that inform how psychologists should apply the DSM-5. These guidelines emphasize evidence-based assessment, the importance of therapeutic alliance, and the need to avoid stigmatizing language. Furthermore, the American Psychological Association has been a strong advocate for the use of **dimensional assessment** alongside categorical diagnosis. The DSM-5's inclusion of cross-cutting symptom measures and

severity specifiers represents a step in this direction, and the American Psychological Association continues to support research into transdiagnostic approaches that may eventually supplement or refine the current categorical system.

## The Manual's Impact on Research and Insurance

The DSM-5 is not merely a clinical tool; it is also the foundation for mental health research and reimbursement. Researchers rely on the manual to define study populations, ensuring that participants meet standardized criteria for a given disorder. This allows for meaningful comparisons across studies and facilitates the development of new treatments. The **American Psychological Association** encourages researchers to use DSM-5 criteria while also exploring alternative models, such as the Research Domain Criteria (RDoC) initiative promoted by the National Institute of Mental Health.

Insurance companies and managed care organizations use DSM-5 codes (now aligned with ICD-10-CM codes) to determine coverage and authorize treatment. A valid DSM-5 diagnosis is often required for reimbursement, making the manual a gateway to care for many individuals. The American Psychological Association has advocated for parity in mental health coverage and has provided guidance to psychologists on how to navigate insurance

requirements without compromising the quality of care.

## Looking Ahead: The Future of Diagnosis and the Role of Psychologists

As the field of mental health continues to evolve, so too will the diagnostic systems we use. The DSM-5 is intended to be a "living document," with periodic updates and text revisions. The first text revision, DSM-5-TR, was published in 2022, incorporating new research and refinements to diagnostic criteria. The **American Psychological Association** remains actively engaged in this process, contributing expert panels and advocating for a more inclusive, evidence-based approach.

There is also growing interest in alternative diagnostic frameworks that move beyond the categorical model of the DSM. The **Hierarchical Taxonomy of Psychopathology (HiTOP)** and the aforementioned RDoC initiative represent efforts to classify mental health problems based on underlying

biological and psychological dimensions. The American Psychological Association has supported these initiatives, recognizing that a hybrid approach—combining the practical utility of the DSM-5 with the empirical rigor of dimensional models—may offer the best path forward.

## Conclusion

The relationship between the **American Psychological Association** and the **DSM-5** is one of mutual dependence, critical collaboration, and healthy tension. While the manual is published by the **American Psychiatric Association**, its everyday use in psychology clinics, research labs, and training programs makes it an indispensable resource for psychologists. The DSM-5 provides a common language for diagnosis, enabling effective communication and consistent treatment. At the same time, the American Psychological Association serves as a vital check on the manual's limitations, pushing for cultural sensitivity, dimensional assessment, and ethical application. For mental health professionals and the individuals they serve, understanding the strengths and weaknesses of the DSM-5 is essential. It is not a perfect document, but it remains the best tool we have for bringing clarity to the complex, deeply human experience of psychological distress.