
None Dare Call It Treason 25 Years Later

*None Dare
Call It
Treason 25
Years Later*

Downloaded from
everybodystreet.com
by Kaufman &
Jadon

NONE DARE CALL IT TREASON 25 YEARS LATER: A RETROSPECTIVE ON A CONSERVATIVE LANDMARK

In the landscape of American political literature, few works have ignited as much passion, controversy, and grassroots mobilization as John A. Stormer's *None Dare Call It Treason*. First published in 1964, the book became a

phenomenon, distributing millions of copies and shaping the worldview of a generation of conservatives during the height of the Cold War. Now, looking back from a vantage point that spans multiple decades, it is worth asking: What does **None Dare Call It Treason 25 Years Later** truly mean? How have its arguments aged, and what lessons remain for readers today? This article explores the book's origins, its enduring impact, and the ways

in which its core themes continue to echo through modern political discourse.

The Birth of a Polarizing Work

To understand *None Dare Call It Treason*, one must first understand the era in which it was written. The early 1960s were a time of profound anxiety in the United States. The Cuban Missile Crisis had brought the world to the brink of nuclear war, the Cold War with the Soviet Union was intensifying, and the specter of communist expansion loomed large in Southeast Asia

and beyond. Into this atmosphere of fear and uncertainty stepped John A. Stormer, a Missouri-based pastor and conservative activist. His book was not a work of academic history but a polemical tour de force, designed to alert ordinary Americans to what he saw as a systematic betrayal of their country from within.

Stormer's central thesis was stark: Communist sympathizers, naive liberals, and even traitors within the U.S. government had been deliberately undermining American sovereignty and security. He argued that the nation was losing the Cold War not because of Soviet strength alone, but because of internal subversion. The book

drew heavily on congressional records, speeches, and the writings of earlier anti-communist figures, weaving them into a narrative that felt urgent and conspiratorial. For millions of readers, it was a revelation. For critics, it was a work of fear-mongering that distorted facts and fueled paranoia.

The title itself is a direct challenge. To "dare call it treason" was to name a crime that Stormer believed was being ignored by the media, academia, and political elites. The book sold an estimated seven million copies during the 1960s, an extraordinary number for a political pamphlet. It was distributed through church groups, conservative

organizations, and grassroots networks, becoming a foundational text for the emerging modern conservative movement.

THE ARGUMENTS THAT SHAPED A MOVEMENT

Stormer's book is dense with specific accusations and examples. He pointed to the Yalta Conference, where Franklin D. Roosevelt and Winston Churchill had made concessions to Joseph Stalin, as a pivotal act of betrayal. He criticized U.S. foreign policy in China, arguing that the fall of the mainland to Mao Zedong's communists was the result of deliberate actions by State Department officials. He also condemned the

leadership of labor unions, the media, and even the courts for what he perceived as a pattern of appeasement toward communism.

A Framework of Distrust

One of the most lasting contributions of *None Dare Call It Treason* was its framework of distrust toward institutions. Stormer encouraged readers to question official narratives, to look for hidden agendas, and to view government actions through a lens of skepticism. This theme resonates powerfully in the

twenty-first century, where distrust of mainstream media, intelligence agencies, and political establishments has become a defining feature of both left-wing and right-wing populism. The book's influence can be seen in the rise of alternative media, the proliferation of online conspiracy theories, and the growing willingness of ordinary citizens to question authority.

The book also played a role in the development of the conservative intellectual infrastructure. It helped pave the way for later works by authors such as Phyllis Schlafly, who would go on to write *A Choice Not an Echo*, and it infused the grassroots

with a sense of urgency that translated into political action. The Barry Goldwater campaign of 1964, though a landslide defeat, built upon the energy that books like Stormer's had generated. That energy eventually culminated in the Reagan Revolution of the 1980s.

THE 25-YEAR MARK: A TIME OF TRANSITION

When we talk about **None Dare Call It Treason 25 Years Later**, we are talking about a specific moment in time: the late 1980s and early 1990s. By then, the world had changed dramatically. The Cold War was ending. The Berlin Wall had fallen in 1989, and the Soviet Union was beginning

its slow collapse. For supporters of the book, this was a vindication. They argued that the anti-communist activism of the 1960s and 1970s had helped create the conditions for victory. For detractors, the end of the Cold War made the book's alarmism seem outdated, even quaint.

Yet the message of *None Dare Call It Treason* did not disappear with the Soviet threat. Instead, it adapted. The framework of internal betrayal and elite corruption proved remarkably flexible. In the 1990s, the same distrust was redirected toward globalism, the United Nations, and the New World Order theories that emerged on the political fringe. The book's legacy lived on in the militia

movements, the Patriot movement, and the growing skepticism of international alliances.

Reevaluating the Evidence

From a historical perspective, many of Stormer's specific claims have been challenged or discredited. His reliance on the testimony of former communists, his cherry-picking of evidence, and his tendency to conflate policy disagreements with treason have been criticized by scholars. The Yalta agreement, for instance, was a complex negotiation made under wartime conditions, and few

mainstream historians endorse the view that it was a treasonous act. Similarly, the narrative of "who lost China" has been largely rejected by academic historians, who point to the overwhelming military and political realities on the ground.

Nevertheless, the book's power was never primarily about factual accuracy. It was about emotion, identity, and a sense of shared grievance. Stormer gave his readers a story in which they were the heroes—the vigilant patriots fighting against a hidden enemy. That narrative has proven to be one of the most durable in American politics. It appears in different forms, from the Tea Party to the populist

movements of the twenty-first century, and it shows no signs of fading.

THE ENDURING RELEVANCE OF THE QUESTION

Perhaps the most fascinating aspect of **None Dare Call It Treason 25 Years Later** is how the question it poses remains alive. The book asked: Are there people in positions of power who are actively working against the interests of the United States? Today, that question is asked constantly, though in different terms. Some ask it about "deep state" actors, others about foreign influence in elections, and still others about corporate lobbying and regulatory capture. The word "treason" may be

used less frequently outside of political rhetoric, but the underlying suspicion of elite betrayal remains a potent force.

In this sense, Stormer's book was ahead of its time. It tapped into a vein of populist anger that would become a defining feature of American politics in the decades that followed. The book's methods—selective use of evidence, emotional appeals, and a focus on hidden narratives—have become standard tools in the arsenal of modern political influencers. Whether one sees this as a healthy expansion of public debate or a dangerous erosion of trust depends largely on one's perspective.

Lessons for the Modern Reader

For those reading **None Dare Call It Treason 25 Years Later** for the first time, or revisiting it with fresh eyes, several lessons emerge. First, the book is a reminder of the power of narrative. Facts alone rarely change minds; stories do. Stormer understood this intuitively, and his book succeeded because it told a compelling story. Second, the book illustrates the dangers of confirmation bias. Readers who already believed that their

government was corrupt found their beliefs reinforced, often without critical examination. Finally, the book shows the difficulty of distinguishing between legitimate dissent and conspiracy theory when emotions run high.

In an age of information overload, where competing narratives clash on social media, the techniques used in *None Dare Call It Treason* are more relevant than ever. The book serves as both a warning and a case study. It warns us about the ease with which distrust can be weaponized, and it offers a case study in how to build a movement around shared grievances.

THE LEGACY IN MODERN CONTEXT

Today, the phrase **None Dare Call It Treason 25 Years Later** has taken on a life of its own. It is used by bloggers, podcasters, and political commentators to frame discussions about everything from election security to foreign policy. The book itself has been reprinted and remains available in digital and print formats. It is a staple of certain online communities, where it is cited as a prophetic work that foretold the decline of American sovereignty.

Yet the book also represents a particular moment in conservative history, one that has been both celebrated and superseded. The

modern conservative movement has evolved, incorporating new issues and new coalitions. The focus on anti-communism has given way to concerns about globalization, cultural identity, and the role of government. Nevertheless, the underlying impulse to expose hidden betrayals remains a constant thread.

Critical Perspectives

No retrospective would be complete without acknowledging the criticisms that have been leveled against Stormer's work. Many historians view the book as a classic

example of shifting web of conspiratorial thinking, evidence. Critics argue defined by its that the book did resistance to lasting damage by falsification and its delegitim reliance on an ever-