

John Locke 2nd Treatise On Government

CORE CONCEPTS OF THE SECOND TREATISE Downloaded from everybodystreet.com by Kaylyn & Morse

INTRODUCTION: THE ENDURING LEGACY OF JOHN LOCKE’S SECOND TREATISE

In the landscape of political philosophy, few works have shaped modern democracy as profoundly as **John Locke’s *Second Treatise on Government***. Written in the tumultuous years surrounding England’s Glorious Revolution of 1688, this influential text laid the intellectual groundwork for constitutional government, individual rights, and the very idea that political authority must rest on the consent of the governed. While the treatise was published anonymously in 1689, its authorship soon became known, and its ideas spread across Europe and into the American colonies. Today, any student of political theory, history, or law must grapple with Locke’s arguments, for they remain the bedrock of liberal democratic thought.

The **John Locke 2nd Treatise on Government** is not merely a historical artifact; it is a living document that continues to inform debates over property rights, the limits of state power, and the justification for revolution. In this article, we will explore the core concepts of the treatise — from the state of nature and natural law to the social contract and the right to rebellion. We will also discuss how Locke’s ideas directly influenced the United States Declaration of Independence and the broader Enlightenment project. By the end, you will understand why this single text remains essential reading for anyone interested in the foundations of political legitimacy.

HISTORICAL CONTEXT: WHY LOCKE WROTE THE SECOND TREATISE

To fully appreciate the **John Locke 2nd Treatise on Government**, we must first understand the political climate in which it was conceived. England in the 1680s was a nation divided by religious conflict and royal absolutism. King James II, a Catholic, sought to centralize power and bypass Parliament, alarming Protestant subjects who feared a return to Catholic rule and arbitrary governance. Whig thinkers like Locke argued that resistance to such tyranny was not only permissible but morally necessary.

Locke’s earlier *First Treatise* was a direct refutation of Sir Robert Filmer’s *Patriarcha*, which justified absolute monarchy on the basis of divine right and Adam’s patriarchal authority. The **John Locke 2nd Treatise on Government** offers a positive alternative: a theory of government rooted in reason, individual rights, and the consent of free and equal persons. Written partly as a justification for the Glorious Revolution that deposed James II and installed William and Mary, the treatise provided a philosophical framework for constitutional monarchy and parliamentary supremacy.

The State of Nature: Freedom with Limits

Locke begins his argument by describing a hypothetical “state of nature” — a condition in which all human beings exist before the establishment of civil government. Contrary to Thomas Hobbes, who saw the state of nature as a “war of all against all,” Locke envisioned it as a state of perfect freedom and equality, governed by the law of nature. In this state, individuals possess natural rights to life, liberty, and property, and each person has the authority to enforce natural law against transgressors.

However, Locke’s state of nature is not a license for chaos. The law of nature, which is reason itself, teaches that “no one ought to harm another in his life, health, liberty, or possessions.” Because all are equal and independent, no one may subject another to his will without that person’s consent. This crucial idea — that political authority must be based on consent — is the cornerstone of the **John Locke 2nd Treatise on Government**.

Natural Rights: Life, Liberty, and Property

For Locke, the most fundamental natural right is property — not merely material goods, but the broader right to one’s own person and labor. He famously argued that every individual “has a property in his own person,” and that by mixing one’s labor with unowned natural resources, one acquires rightful ownership. This labor theory of property legitimized private ownership and placed limits on what governments could take from citizens without their consent.

Locke’s defense of property rights was revolutionary. By grounding ownership in individual labor and natural law, he undermined the feudal notion that land and resources belonged ultimately to the monarch. The **John Locke 2nd Treatise on Government** thus became a key text for the emerging capitalist economy and for the American colonists who protested “taxation without representation.”

The Social Contract and Consent of the Governed

If the state of nature is a condition of liberty and equality, why leave it? Locke explains that while the state of nature is not a war, it is “wanting” in three respects: an established, settled, known law;

an impartial judge to resolve disputes; and a power to enforce just decisions. To remedy these inconveniences, individuals voluntarily agree to form a civil society by consenting to a social contract.

This social contract creates a community that entrusts legislative and executive powers to a government, but only on condition that the government protects natural rights. If the government violates that trust, the people have the right — indeed, the duty — to dissolve it. Locke’s concept of “trust” is central: political power is not absolute, but fiduciary, held in trust for the public good. This idea directly shaped the American founders’ belief in limited government and the right of revolution.

The Right to Revolution: When Government Breaches the Trust

Perhaps the most controversial and influential part of the **John Locke 2nd Treatise on Government** is its justification of rebellion. Locke argues that when a ruler acts arbitrarily, seizes property without consent, or attempts to enslave the people, the social contract is broken. In such cases, the people are “absolved from any farther obedience” and may “appeal to heaven” — that is, take up arms to restore lawful government.

This was not a call to frivolous insurrection; Locke insisted that revolution should only occur after a “long train of abuses” and a deliberate design to reduce citizens to absolute slavery. Nevertheless, the right to revolution provided a powerful intellectual weapon against tyranny and inspired the American Declaration of Independence, which echoes Locke’s language of “life, liberty, and the pursuit of happiness.”

DIVISION OF POWERS: LEGISLATIVE, EXECUTIVE, AND FEDERATIVE

Locke’s *Second Treatise* also contains an early formulation of the separation of powers — a concept later refined by Montesquieu and incorporated into the U.S. Constitution. Locke identifies three distinct powers within a civil state: the legislative, the executive, and the federative (that is, the power over foreign relations and war).

The **legislative power** is supreme, but not absolute: it must govern by established, promulgated laws, not by arbitrary decrees; its laws must be designed solely for the public good; and it cannot raise taxes without the consent of the people. The **executive power** enforces the laws and is subordinate to the legislature, but may exercise prerogative — the discretion to act for the public good in unforeseen circumstances. The **federative power** deals with war, peace, treaties, and alliances, and is often joined with the executive.

This tripartite division, though not as rigorous as later models, established the principle that concentrating power in one set of hands is dangerous. The **John Locke 2nd Treatise on Government** thus anticipates checks and balances, ensuring that no single branch can violate the rights of citizens with impunity.

PROPERTY, LABOR, AND THE LIMITS OF ACQUISITION

Locke’s discussion of property is among the most detailed and consequential in Western political thought. He begins by asserting that God gave the earth to all humankind in common. But how can individuals legitimately appropriate parts of this common world? Locke’s answer: through labor. “The labour of his body, and the work of his hands, we may say, are properly his.” By mixing labor with a thing, a person removes it from the common state and establishes a right to it.

Yet Locke also imposes important limits on accumulation. In the state of nature, one may only take as much as one can use before it spoils. The introduction of money — by mutual consent — allows people to accumulate more without spoilage, since gold and silver do not decay. This justification of unlimited acquisition under a monetary economy has been both praised as the foundation of capitalism and criticized as an apology for inequality.

Nevertheless, Locke’s theory of property remains central to the **John Locke 2nd Treatise on Government**. It asserts that property rights exist prior to government and that no legislature may deprive individuals of their property without their own consent or that of their representatives. This principle became a rallying cry for the American Revolution and for every subsequent movement defending economic liberty.

INFLUENCE ON THE AMERICAN FOUNDING AND MODERN DEMOCRACY

No text outside the Bible had a greater impact on the American founders than the **John Locke 2nd Treatise on Government**. Thomas Jefferson borrowed heavily from Locke when drafting the Declaration of Independence, substituting “pursuit of happiness” for “property” but retaining the Lockean framework of inalienable rights and government by consent. James Madison, Alexander Hamilton, and other framers of the U.S. Constitution also drew on Locke’s ideas about representation, the separation of powers, and the right of revolution.

Locke’s influence extends far beyond the eighteenth century. Liberal democracies around the world — from Europe to Latin America to Asia — have incorporated his principles of constitutionalism, limited government, and the protection of individual rights. Even contemporary debates over digital property, intellectual property, and government surveillance can be traced back to Lockean concerns about consent, labor, and the proper scope of political authority.

CRITICISMS AND CONTEMPORARY RELEVANCE

Like all great works, the **John Locke 2nd Treatise on Government** has faced significant criticism. Marxist thinkers argue that Locke’s theory of property justifies capitalist exploitation and ignores the dispossession of indigenous peoples. Feminist scholars note that Locke’s “free and equal” individuals were implicitly male property owners, and his writings on the family reinforced patriarchal authority. Others contend that the state of nature is an unrealistic fiction that cannot bear the weight of a political theory.

Despite these critiques, the treatise remains indispensable. Its core insights — that legitimate government must rest on consent, that rulers are bound by law, and that citizens have a right to

resist oppression — are more relevant than ever in an age of populism, authoritarian resurgence, and debates over digital privacy and surveillance. Reading the **John Locke 2nd Treatise on Government** is not merely an academic exercise; it is an engagement with the very ideas that make constitutional democracy possible.

CONCLUSION: A TIMELESS BLUEPRINT FOR LIBERTY

John Locke’s *Second Treatise on Government* stands as one of history’s most powerful defenses of human freedom and limited government. From its vivid description of the state of nature to its careful justification of the right to revolution, the treatise offers a coherent and compelling vision of

how political society should be organized. Its emphasis on natural rights, consent of the governed, and the separation of powers has shaped the constitutions of countless nations and continues to inspire activists, jurists, and philosophers.

Whether you are a student beginning your study of political theory or a seasoned scholar revisiting foundational texts, the **John Locke 2nd Treatise on Government** rewards careful reading. Its ideas remain a touchstone for anyone who believes that government exists to serve the people — not to rule over them. In an era when democratic norms are under strain, Locke’s voice reminds us of the enduring principles that safeguard liberty and justice.