

---

# Reframing Organizations Bolman And Deal

---

*Reframing Organizations Bolman And Deal*

Downloaded from [everybodystreet.com](http://everybodystreet.com)  
by Lucas & Erickson

---

## INTRODUCTION: SEEING ORGANIZATIONS THROUGH A NEW LENS

---

In the complex and often chaotic world of organizational management, leaders frequently find themselves frustrated. A strategy that worked flawlessly last quarter suddenly fails. A team that seemed united is now fractured by conflict. The root cause of these struggles is often not a lack of effort or intelligence, but a lack of perspective. We tend to see organizations through a single, narrow lens, missing the bigger picture and the underlying dynamics at play. This is where the seminal work of Lee G. Bolman and Terrence E. Deal becomes invaluable. Their groundbreaking book, **Reframing Organizations Bolman And Deal**, offers a powerful antidote to managerial myopia. Instead of searching for the "one right way" to manage, they propose a simple yet profound idea: effective leaders must learn to **reframe**.

Reframing is the art of looking at the same situation from multiple vantage points. Bolman and Deal argue that most organizational problems are not as unique as they seem; they simply require a different set of glasses. Their Four Frame Model

provides a comprehensive toolkit for diagnosing issues, making decisions, and leading with clarity. By mastering these four distinct perspectives—Structural, Human Resource, Political, and Symbolic—leaders can transform confusion into understanding, and paralysis into action. This article provides a comprehensive exploration of the **Reframing Organizations Bolman And Deal** framework, explaining each frame in detail and demonstrating how to use them to navigate the challenges of modern leadership.

---

## THE GENESIS OF THE FOUR FRAME MODEL

---

Before diving into the frames themselves, it is helpful to understand the philosophy behind them. Bolman and Deal were critical of the "managerial mystique"—the belief that management is a pure science with universal solutions. They observed that leaders often become trapped in a single mindset, whether it be a rigid focus on rules (Structural), a belief in harmony (Human Resource), a fixation on power (Political), or an obsession with culture (Symbolic). Each of these mindsets, when used alone, is incomplete.

The brilliance of the **Reframing Organizations Bolman And Deal** approach is its emphasis on simultaneity. The most effective leaders are not those who pick one frame and stick with

it, but those who can fluidly move between them, using the right tool for the right job. This cognitive flexibility is the hallmark of a truly agile leader. The model is built on the premise that organizations are complex, ambiguous, paradoxical, and full of surprises—and to manage them, we need a portfolio of perspectives, not a single map.

---

### FRAME 1: THE STRUCTURAL FRAME

---

## The Architecture of Work

The Structural Frame is the most traditional and logical of the four. It views an organization as a factory or a machine, designed to achieve specific goals efficiently. This frame focuses on the formal architecture of the organization: rules, policies, hierarchy, job descriptions, and division of labor. The core assumption is that the right structure will lead to the right results.

## Key Concepts of the Structural Frame

- **Division of Labor:** Breaking complex tasks into specialized, manageable roles.
- **Hierarchy and Coordination:** Using vertical authority to ensure different parts of the organization work together.
- **Rules and Policies:** Standardizing procedures to ensure consistency and predictability.

- **Reorganization:** The belief that structural misalignment—not people or politics—is the root cause of performance issues.

## When to Use the Structural Frame

This frame is most effective when the goals are clear, the environment is stable, and the primary challenge is coordination or efficiency. For example, a manufacturing plant struggling with quality control likely has a structural problem—a poorly designed workflow or unclear roles. A leader using this frame would analyze the organizational chart, clarify reporting lines, and redesign processes.

## Limitations of the Structural Frame

The downside of an over-reliance on this frame is rigidity. Organizations become bureaucratic, slow to change, and indifferent to human needs. When a highly structured organization fails to adapt to a volatile market, it is often because the "machine" was designed for a world that no longer exists. The classic pitfall is redesigning the org chart while ignoring the people and culture that make it work.

---

**FRAME 2: THE HUMAN RESOURCE FRAME**

---

## Putting People at the Center

If the Structural Frame sees organizations as machines, the Human Resource Frame sees them as families or communities. This perspective was heavily influenced by the human relations movement and psychologists like Abraham Maslow. The central premise is straightforward: organizations exist to serve human needs, and humans exist to serve organizational needs. When the fit is good, both thrive. When the fit is poor, one or both suffer.

## Key Concepts of the Human Resource Frame

- **Needs and Motivation:** People are motivated by more than just money; they seek meaning, belonging, and growth.
- **Empowerment:** Giving employees autonomy and a voice in decisions increases commitment and performance.
- **Participation:** Involving those affected by a decision leads to better buy-in and more creative solutions.
- **Training and Development:** Investing in skills and career growth is essential for long-term success.

## When to Use the Human Resource Frame

This frame is invaluable when dealing with issues of morale, burnout, turnover, or resistance to change. If a company is implementing a new software system and employees are resisting, a leader using this frame wouldn't just send a memo (Structural). They would ask: "How does this change make people feel? Are they afraid of losing their jobs? Do they have the training to succeed?"

## Limitations of the Human Resource Frame

The primary weakness of this frame is naivety. An exclusive focus on harmony and happiness can lead to a lack of accountability and an avoidance of tough decisions. Leaders may become "people pleasers," avoiding necessary conflict or letting poor performance slide. It can also ignore the harsh realities of power and scarce resources.

---

**FRAME 3: THE POLITICAL FRAME**

---

## The Reality of Power and Conflict

For many, the Political Frame is the most uncomfortable—yet it is often the most accurate. This frame views the organization as a jungle or a battlefield, a competitive arena of scarce resources, divergent interests, and power struggles. The core assumption is that conflict is natural, not a sign of dysfunction. Because resources (budget, status, authority) are always limited, different groups and individuals will inevitably vie for them.

## Key Concepts of the Political Frame

- **Power and Influence:** The ability to get things done, regardless of formal authority. Power can come from expertise, relationships, or control of resources.
- **Coalitions:** Groups of people who band together to achieve a common interest. These are fluid and change with the issue.
- **Agenda Setting:** The most powerful players are those who can define the "problem" and frame the debate.
- **Negotiation and Bargaining:** Decisions are not made logically; they are made through horse-trading and compromise.

## When to Use the Political Frame

This frame is essential during times of scarcity (budget cuts), major change (mergers, reorganizations), or when intense conflict arises. A leader skilled in this frame doesn't complain about politics; they understand it. They map the political terrain, identify key stakeholders, build coalitions, and negotiate effectively. They know that a brilliant idea is worthless if you can't build a powerful enough coalition to support it.

## Limitations of the Political Frame

The trap of the Political Frame is cynicism. A leader who sees everything through the lens of power can become manipulative or paranoid, breeding a toxic, zero-sum culture. Over time, this erodes trust and collaboration. It can also lead to "analysis paralysis," where a leader spends so much time politicking that they forget to actually manage the work.

---

### FRAME 4: THE SYMBOLIC FRAME

---

# The Power of Meaning and Culture

The Symbolic Frame is the most artistic and nuanced of the four. It views an organization not as a machine, a family, or a jungle, but as a theater, a temple, or a carnival. This frame focuses on the subjective experience of organizational life: culture, rituals, stories, myths, and heroes. The core belief is that what matters most is not what happens, but what it *means* to the people involved.

## Key Concepts of the Symbolic Frame

- **Culture:** The invisible, taken-for-granted beliefs and values that shape behavior. "The way we do things around here."
- **Rituals and Ceremonies:** Recurring events (annual meetings, performance reviews, Friday beer busts) that reinforce shared values.
- **Stories and Myths:** Anecdotes about company founders or past triumphs that pass on wisdom and identity.
- **Heroes and Heroines:** Individuals who embody the core values of the organization and serve as role models.

# When to Use the Symbolic Frame

This frame is most powerful when dealing with issues of identity, morale, and transition. Why do people stay at a company that pays below market rate? Because of the culture. Why does a merger fail even though the "structural" fit was perfect? Because the cultures clashed. A leader using the Symbolic Frame focuses on vision and mission. They tell compelling stories, celebrate heroes, and use rituals to build community and guide the organization through uncertainty.

## Limitations of the Symbolic Frame

The danger here is style over substance. A leader can become a great entertainer or "chief cheerleader," but if the underlying business model is broken or the basics are ignored, the show will eventually collapse. It can also be used to manipulate employees into accepting poor conditions through "rah-rah" culture programs.

---

### PUTTING IT ALL TOGETHER: THE POWER OF REFRAMING

---

The true genius of the **Reframing Organizations Bolman And**

**Deal** model is not in the individual frames, but in their **integration**. A complex problem—like a failed product launch, a toxic team environment, or a stalled digital transformation—is rarely a single-frame issue. It is a multidimensional puzzle.

## A Practical Example: A Struggling Sales Team

Imagine a sales team that is consistently missing its targets. A leader using only the **Structural Frame** might redesign the compensation plan and restructure the territories. If the problem was procedural, this might help. But if the real issue is that the salespeople are burnt out and feel unappreciated (**Human Resource**), the new structure will fail. If the top salesperson is hoarding leads and engaging in backroom deals (**Political**), the redesign is useless. And if the team has lost faith in the product and the company mission (**Symbolic**), no amount of structural tinkering will reignite their passion.

A reframing leader would analyze the situation through all four lenses:

- **Structural:** Are the goals clear? Is the territory alignment logical? Are the metrics fair?
- **Human Resource:** Is the team skilled? Are they motivated? Are there personal issues impacting performance?
- **Political:** Who holds the real influence? Are there

conflicting agendas among the sales reps or with other departments?

- **Symbolic:** What story are we telling about this team? Is there a sense of pride? Do we celebrate small wins?

By gathering data from all four perspectives, the leader can design a multi-pronged strategy that addresses the root causes, not just the symptoms.

---

### WHY THIS MODEL MATTERS FOR MODERN LEADERS

---

In today's volatile, uncertain, complex, and ambiguous (VUCA) world, the ability to reframe is not a luxury—it is a survival skill. Leaders who are "stuck" in one frame are predictable and brittle. They have one tool in their toolbox, and they try to use it for every problem.

## Benefits of Mastering Reframing

- **Improved Diagnosis:** You can more accurately identify the true nature of a problem before prescribing a solution.
- **Increased Flexibility:** You can adapt your leadership style to the situation, rather than forcing the situation to fit your style.
- **Better Communication:** You can tailor your message to resonate with different audiences. A finance team needs Structural logic; a design team needs Symbolic inspiration.

- **Reduced Blind**