

---

# A Jury Of Her Peers By Susan Glaspell

---

*A Jury Of Her Peers By  
Susan Glaspell*

*Downloaded from  
[everybodystreet.com](http://everybodystreet.com) by  
Bray & Sheldon*

---

## UNVEILING THE UNHEARD: THE POWER OF “A JURY OF HER PEERS”

---

In the quiet corners of American literature, few short stories have resonated with such enduring power as Susan Glaspell’s masterpiece, **A Jury Of Her Peers**. Published in 1917, this work transcends its era, offering a profound

commentary on gender dynamics, justice, and the silent understanding that exists among women. At first glance, it is a simple detective story set in a rural farmhouse. But beneath the surface lies a complex exploration of what it means to be judged by a world that refuses to see your truth. For modern readers, the story serves as a haunting reminder of the invisible barriers that women have faced—and continue to face—when seeking a fair hearing. The narrative

forces us to question: who truly has the right to judge, and what qualifies a person to be a true peer?

The phrase **A Jury Of Her Peers** has become shorthand for a specific kind of justice—one rooted not in legal procedure, but in lived experience. It suggests that to fairly judge someone, you must understand the context of their life, their struggles, and their unspoken burdens. This is precisely the lesson Glaspell's characters learn as they piece together the fragments of a tragic crime. The story remains a staple in classrooms, literary circles, and feminist discourse, not because it offers easy answers, but because it asks difficult questions about empathy, silence, and the moral weight of complicity.

---

## THE ORIGINS OF A CLASSIC: SUSAN GLASPELL AND THE TRUE CRIME INSPIRATION

---

Susan Glaspell was a pioneering American playwright, novelist, and journalist. She is often overlooked in the shadow of her male contemporaries, yet her contributions to modern American drama are indelible. **A Jury Of Her Peers** began its life as a one-act play titled *Trifles*, which Glaspell wrote in 1916. The critical acclaim for the play led her to adapt it into the short story form we know today. The inspiration for the work came from a real murder trial that Glaspell covered as a young reporter for the *Des Moines Daily News*.

The case involved the murder of John Hossack, a farmer who was killed with an

axe while he slept. His wife, Margaret Hossack, was the prime suspect. Glaspell covered the trial closely, witnessing the community's reaction and the subtle dynamics of gender that played out in the courtroom. Margaret Hossack was ultimately convicted, but the case haunted Glaspell for years. She transformed the raw material of this courtroom drama into a narrative that focuses not on the guilt or innocence of the accused, but on the social forces that shape the investigation. By shifting the lens from the male detectives to the women who accompany them, Glaspell created a revolutionary work of feminist literature.

---

### **PLOT OVERVIEW: A MURDER, A**

### **KITCHEN, AND A DEEPER TRUTH**

The story of **A Jury Of Her Peers** unfolds in a single setting: the cold, gloomy kitchen of the Wright family farmhouse. The narrative begins with a group of arrivals. Lewis Hale, a neighbor, tells the story of discovering Minnie Wright in a strange, detached state, with her husband John Wright dead upstairs, a rope around his neck. The official investigation is led by the county attorney, George Henderson, and the sheriff, Henry Peters. Accompanying them are the sheriff's wife, Martha Hale, and a neighbor, Mrs. Peters.

The men immediately ascend to the bedroom to examine the scene of the crime, confident that the solution lies in the realm of physical evidence—tracks,

weapons, and means. Meanwhile, the women are left behind in the kitchen. They are told to gather a few belongings for Minnie Wright, who is being held in custody. But as the men dismiss the kitchen as a place of trivial domestic details, the women begin to notice something the men cannot see. They observe the uneven stitching in a quilt, the erratic sewing pattern, the broken birdcage, and finally, the dead canary wrapped in silk.

The men laugh at the women for worrying about “trifles” like a quilt or a broken cage. But for Martha Hale and Mrs. Peters, these objects tell a clear and heartbreaking story. They understand what it means to be isolated, to have your spirit broken, and to be silenced by a domineering husband. The dead

canary is not just a dead bird; it is the symbol of Minnie Wright’s lost joy, her voice extinguished. Through these “trifles,” the women reconstruct the motive for the murder. They stand together in their silence, choosing not to reveal their findings to the men. In doing so, they become the jury that Minnie Wright never had—a jury of her peers.

---

### **GENDER AND THE DIVIDE OF PERCEPTION**

---

One of the most striking elements of **A Jury Of Her Peers** is the stark contrast between how the men and women perceive the world. The men are preoccupied with what they consider important: motive, weapon, and physical evidence. They see the kitchen as a mess, the fruit preserves as ruined, and

the quilt as a woman's trivial preoccupation. The county attorney makes a dismissive comment about "women's worries," and Sheriff Peters jokes about the "picking and arranging" of household items. This condescension blinds them to the very evidence they seek.

In contrast, the women, Martha Hale and Mrs. Peters, inhabit a different cognitive space. They are attuned to the rhythms of domestic life. They understand the labor involved in preserving fruit, the skill required to quilt, and the emotional significance of a caged bird. They notice the small things—the broken hinge on the birdcage, the dirty towel, the half-cleaned table—because these details are the language of Minnie Wright's life. The men are hunting for a motive in the

abstract, but the women are finding a motive in the concrete experience of a woman's existence. This divide is not simply a matter of intellect; it is a matter of **empathy**. The men see facts; the women see a life.

---

### **SYMBOLISM AND THE WEIGHT OF "TRIFLES"**

---

The story of **A Jury Of Her Peers** is rich with symbolism, and the most powerful symbol is the dead canary. The bird represents Minnie Wright herself—once a young woman who sang in the church choir, vibrant and full of life. Her marriage to John Wright, a man described as "a hard man," crushed that spirit. The broken cage door suggests a violent struggle, not just between man and bird, but between husband and wife.

The canary's neck is wrung, just as John Wright figuratively wrung the life out of Minnie's soul.

The quilt is another potent symbol. The women discover that Minnie's sewing is neat and precise until a certain point, after which it becomes erratic and jagged. This change in stitching marks the moment of psychological breakdown. The act of quilting becomes a metaphor for piecing together a narrative. The women are literally stitching together the story of Minnie's despair. When they debate whether Minnie "was going to quilt it or knot it," they are not talking about needlework alone; they are discussing the very nature of justice and solidarity. The decision to hide the evidence is, in itself, an act of quilting—a way of mending a broken life by

protecting the woman at its center.

The birdcage, the dead bird, the quilt, and even the ruined fruit preserves all serve as fragments of a life that the male investigators dismiss. These objects carry a weight that only the women can feel. The men see a sloppy housekeeper; the women see a woman drowning in silence. The symbolism of **A Jury Of Her Peers** teaches us that meaning is not always found in grand gestures. Sometimes, the most profound truths are hidden in the smallest details.

---

## JUSTICE, MORALITY, AND THE ETHICS OF SILENCE

---

The central ethical dilemma of **A Jury Of Her Peers** lies in the final decision of Martha Hale and Mrs. Peters. They discover the evidence that would convict

Minnie Wright of murder. Yet they choose to withhold it. Is this an act of justice or a miscarriage of the law? Glaspell presents this question without offering a simple answer. The story challenges the very definition of justice. The men in the story represent the law of the land—a system built and enforced by men, which often fails to account for the realities of women's lives.

Mrs. Peters, the sheriff's wife, is caught in a particularly difficult position. Her loyalty to her husband conflicts with her growing understanding of Minnie's suffering. She recalls her own experiences: the death of her first child, the loneliness of a remote life. She realizes that she could have been Minnie Wright. Martha Hale, too, feels a profound guilt. She remembers that she

should have visited Minnie more often, that she sensed something was wrong but did nothing. This collective guilt transforms into a collective decision. The women are not condoning murder; they are acknowledging that Minnie was driven to an act of desperation by a system that offered her no escape.

In choosing silence, the women become what the legal system denied Minnie: a jury of her peers. They judge her not by the letter of the law, but by the circumstances of her life. This moral complexity is what makes the story so enduring. It forces readers to ask themselves: what would you have done? Would you have upheld the law or protected a woman who had already been destroyed?

---

## RELEVANCE IN A MODERN WORLD

---

Over a century after its publication, **A Jury Of Her Peers** remains strikingly relevant. The conversation about gender bias in the justice system is far from over. We still see cases where victims of domestic violence are judged harshly for defending themselves. We still see courtrooms where a defendant's appearance, emotional state, or lifestyle is scrutinized in ways that would never apply to a male defendant. The story's central insight—that a person deserves to be judged by those who truly understand their circumstances—is a principle that modern justice systems continue to grapple with.

The concept of a “jury of one’s peers”

has evolved, but it is still imperfect. In the United States, jury selection can be manipulated, biased, and exclusionary. The story reminds us that true peer judgment requires not just demographic similarity, but **experiential understanding**. Martha Hale and Mrs. Peters are Minnie Wright's peers not because they are all women, but because they share the same unspoken burdens, the same invisibility, and the same knowledge of what it means to be controlled.

Additionally, the story speaks to the power of silence as resistance. In an age of social media and constant commentary, choosing to be silent can be a radical act. The women in the story do not make a grand speech or call for a revolution. They simply look at each

other, understand each other, and make a quiet, firm choice. This form of solidarity is powerful because it operates outside of institutional frameworks. It is a reminder that justice is not always delivered from the bench; sometimes, it is found in the kitchen, in the careful hands of women who have learned to read the world in a language that others refuse to speak.

---

### CHARACTER ANALYSIS: THE UNLIKELY HEROINES

---

Martha Hale is the emotional center of **A Jury Of Her Peers**. She is filled with regret and a growing sense of responsibility. As she moves through Minnie's kitchen, she reconstructs the life she failed to see. She is the reader's surrogate, learning alongside us the

truth of Minnie's existence. Her transformation from a compliant neighbor to a silent accomplice is gradual but profound.

Mrs. Peters is a more complex figure. As the sheriff's wife, she represents the law by association. Yet her identity as a woman overrides her role as an enforcer. Her moment of revelation comes when she recalls the story of a boy who killed her kitten with an axe. The memory of that rage and helplessness connects her to Minnie. Glaspell gives Mrs. Peters a quiet strength. She is the one who ultimately hides the dead canary in her coat pocket, sealing the pact of silence.

Minnie Wright herself is never seen directly, yet she is the most powerful presence in the story. She exists through the objects she left behind. Every detail

in the kitchen is an echo of her voice. In this sense, she is not absent; she is everywhere. The story is a remarkable feat of characterization because the accused woman is both the subject and the invisible ghost, whose life speaks

louder than any testimony could.

---

### **LITERARY TECHNIQUES AND THE ART OF THE UNSPOKEN**

---

Glaspell writes with a masterful